Tigerlily Foundation
Beauty. Strength. Transformation.
Tigerlily Foundation POWER Center
RESEARCH BRIEF 2026

Fertility Preservation and Provider Navigation in Oncology Care

Amy Hires, MPH

Abstract

Young women diagnosed with breast cancer face complex medical decisions that may significantly affect their reproductive future. Fertility preservation (FP) is an essential yet underutilized component of cancer care. Despite established clinical guidelines recommending timely counseling and referral, many patients do not receive adequate information or access to FP services. This brief examines current practices, disparities in care, and the role of patient navigation services in improving access, outcomes, and patient experience. Evidence suggests that integrating fertility preservation navigators into oncology care may reduce barriers, improve decision-making, and enhance psychosocial support for patients.

Keywords: fertility preservation, breast cancer, patient navigation, disparities, oncofertility

Introduction

Young women diagnosed with breast cancer often experience substantial emotional distress as they navigate complex treatments and unfamiliar medical terminology. For those who desire future childbearing, this distress may be heightened by concerns regarding fertility, as cancer treatments can impair reproductive function (Chin et al., 2016). Consequently, patients must make time-sensitive decisions regarding fertility preservation (FP) at the time of diagnosis. Research indicates that the potential loss of fertility can be nearly as impactful as concerns about survival among reproductive-aged women with cancer (Chin et al., 2016). Despite this, inadequate counseling and lack of referral systems have contributed to lower rates of childbearing and higher rates of childlessness among survivors.

Author Note *Amy Hires received her Master of Public Health degree from Brown University. She is a Tigerlily Foundation intern. Her research interests include health disparities, oncology care, and equitable access to cancer treatment services.*

Fertility Preservation Options

The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) recommends that reproductive-aged cancer patients be informed about fertility risks and preservation options prior to treatment (Loren et al., 2013). Common preservation include:

- **Oocyte Cryopreservation** Oocyte cryopreservation involves ovarian stimulation, egg retrieval, and freezing of mature oocytes for future use. While outcomes have improved, success rates in cancer populations remain variable (ASCO, 2019). This option is suitable for patients with sufficient time and medical eligibility for ovarian stimulation (Su et al., 2025).

- **Embryo Cryopreservation** Embryo cryopreservation requires fertilization of retrieved oocytes with partner or donor sperm, followed by embryo storage. This process introduces ethical, financial, and relational considerations, particularly regarding future embryo use (Quinn & Vadaparampil, 2013).

- **GnRH Analogs** Gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) analogs may be administered to suppress ovarian function during chemotherapy, potentially preserving ovarian reserve (National Breast Cancer Foundation, n.d.).

- **Ovarian Tissue Cryopreservation** Ovarian tissue cryopreservation is now considered a viable option for patients unable to delay treatment. This technique preserves both endocrine and reproductive function, although concerns remain regarding the potential reintroduction of malignant cells (Ulrich, 2022).

Guidelines and Barriers to Care

Professional organizations, including ASCO and the American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM), recommend early discussion of fertility risks and referral to specialists (Loren et al., 2013). However, these recommendations are inconsistently implemented. Among oncologists, barriers to FP counseling include provider knowledge gaps, limited time, discomfort discussing fertility, and perceptions that fertility is secondary to cancer treatment (Dorfman et al., 2021). Additionally, reliance on patients to initiate discussions places undue burden on individuals who may lack awareness of fertility risks (Jones et al., 2017).

Disparities in Fertility Preservation

Significant disparities exist in access to FP services. Research demonstrates differences in counseling and referral based on race, age, socioeconomic status, and geographic location (Chin et al., 2016; Goodman et al., 2012). Members of racial and ethnic minority groups are less likely to receive counseling and referrals and are underrepresented in FP research. Structural barriers, including insurance limitations and lack of standardized referral systems, further exacerbate inequities (Turner, 2023). Patients who receive specialized counseling are more likely to pursue FP, underscoring the importance of equitable access (Su et al., 2025).

Role of Patient Navigation

Patient navigation services have been shown to improve access to care by assisting patients in overcoming logistical, financial, and informational barriers (Cartmell et al., 2016). In the context of oncofertility, navigation can enhance awareness, streamline care coordination, and improve patient outcomes.

- Clinical Benefits-Fertility preservation navigators can:
  - Provide specialized education regarding FP options
  - Coordinate appointments and treatment timelines
  - Assist with insurance approvals and financial planning
  - Improve healthcare system navigation

  These services reduce provider burden while enhancing patient understanding and engagement (Anderson et al., 2016).

- Financial Support-FP procedures are costly, with estimates ranging from $10,000 to $15,000 for initial treatment and additional annual storage fees (Dorfman et al., 2021). Navigators can connect patients with financial assistance programs and clarify insurance coverage, which varies by state.

- Psychosocial Support-FP decisions involve complex emotional and ethical considerations. Patients must evaluate future family planning, relationships, and personal values under time constraints. Navigators provide ongoing support, helping patients process these decisions and reduce emotional distress (Quinn & Vadaparampil, 2013).

Recommendations

To improve FP access and outcomes, oncology practices should:

1. **Integrate Patient Navigation Services**-Establish dedicated fertility preservation navigators within oncology care teams.
2. **Standardize Counseling Protocols**-Ensure all reproductive-aged patients receive timely and consistent FP information.
3. **Improve Provider Education**-Enhance training on fertility risks and preservation options.
4. **Address Disparities**-Implement strategies to ensure equitable access regardless of race, socioeconomic status, or insurance coverage.
5. **Enhance Financial Navigation**-Provide resources to help patients manage costs and access available assistance programs.

Conclusion

Fertility preservation is a critical component of comprehensive cancer care that remains underutilized due to systemic barriers and disparities. Evidence supports the integration of patient navigation services as an effective strategy to improve access, enhance patient education, and provide psychosocial support. Expanding these services can help ensure that all patients have the opportunity to make informed decisions about their reproductive future.

References

Anderson, K., et al. (2016). Preferences for breast cancer survivorship programs among multiracial and ethnic women. *Clinical Breast Cancer, 26*(1), 247–253.

Cartmell, K. B., Bonilha, H. S., et al. (2016). Patient participation in cancer clinical trials: A pilot test of lay navigation. *Contemporary Clinical Trials Communications, 3*, 86–93.

Chin, H., et al. (2016). Which female cancer patients fail to receive fertility counseling before treatment? *Fertility and Sterility, 106*(7), 1763–1771.

Dorfman, C. S., et al. (2021). Addressing barriers to fertility preservation for cancer patients. *Journal of Oncology Navigation & Survivorship, 12*(10), 332–348.

Goodman, L., et al. (2012). Decisional conflict associated with fertility preservation. *Fertility and Sterility, 98*(3), S9.

Jones, G., et al. (2017). Factors hindering decision-making for fertility preservation. *Human Reproduction Update, 23*(4), 433–457.

Loren, A., et al. (2013). Fertility preservation guidelines for patients with cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology, 31*, 2500–2510.

Quinn, G. P., & Vadaparampil, S. T. (2013). Fertility preservation decision-making. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 209*(2), 98–102.

Su, H. I., et al. (2025). Fertility preservation in people with cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology, 43*, 1488–1515.

Ulrich, N. (2022). Fertility preservation in breast cancer. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology, 82*, 60–68.